

Alan to me, Abdolreza, Andrei, Darrell, Dong, Orhan, Dr.Saumitra, Gavin, show Nov
Jones George, Sharafat, Sonja, Susan, jones, Dick, Linda details 7
Zhonghao,

You wrote:

----- Original Message -----

In your "**Score X**", you have **22** misses, **5** more than my **17**, but you only wrote "change 23 and 32 to misses". Did you forget to write No. 19, 26 and 45 after "23 and 32" or you worried about something?

If you look at my statement, it says:

Take out dependent events, aftershocks, and change 23 and 32 to misses: $x = 1.537$, $p = 0.12$

If you look at my chart, I clearly state which are aftershocks and which are dependent events.

I really don't like the tone of your question. I have provided you with everything I produced three and a half years ago. It is very difficult to go back and recall what I did then. But to answer your questions:

19 -- I did not agree with your hit but I found another event that satisfied your criteria. Therefore, I gave you a hit.

26 - I credited you with a hit because it was not an aftershock of Northridge. Therefore, I gave you a hit.

45 - I couldn't find this in my database but I gave you a hit anyway.

So what are you complaining about? I gave you hits on the three events you mention above.

There were events that were not independent which I had to remove.

Regards,

Alan

#####

Alan L. Jones
Dept. of Geological Sciences and Environmental Studies
State University of New York at Binghamton
Binghamton, NY 13902
607-786-5866
alan.jones@binghamton.edu
www.geol.binghamton.edu/faculty/jones
#####